arXiv:1402.6362v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 25 Feb 2014

Kinetic theory for a mobile impurity in a degenerate Tonks-Girardeau gas

O. Gamayun[1,2], O. Lychkovskiy[1], and V. Cheianov[1]
[1] *Lancaster University, Physics Department, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK, and*
[2] *Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, 14-b Metrolohichna str., Kyiv 03680, Ukraine,*

A kinetic theory describing the motion of an impurity particle in a degenerate Tonks-Girardeau gas is presented. The theory is based on the one-dimensional Boltzmann equation. An iterative procedure for solving this equation is proposed, leading to the exact solution in number of special cases and to an approximate solution with the explicitly specified precision in a general case. Previously we have reported that the impurity reaches a non-thermal steady state, characterized by an impurity momentum p_∞ depending on its initial momentum p_0 [1]. In the present paper the detailed derivation of $p_\infty(p_0)$ is provided. We also study the motion of an impurity under the action of a constant force F. It is demonstrated that if the impurity is heavier than the host particles, $m_\mathrm{i} > m_h$, damped quasi-Bloch oscillations develop, while in the opposite case, $m_\mathrm{i} < m_h$, oscillations are absent. The steady state momentum as a function of an applied force is determined. This function is found to be non-analytic at $F = 0$ with a jump discontinuity in the light impurity case and the $\sqrt{F}$ singularity in the heavy impurity case.

I. INTRODUCTION

Last decade has been witnessing a tremendous experimental progress in the fabrication and manipulation of artificial one-dimensional (1D) quantum systems, especially 1D ultracold atomic gases [2]. An important recent advancement is the development of the experimental techniques enabling injection and tracking of a single impurity in a 1D host gas of bosons. This opens access to previously unexplored aspects of non-equilibrium dynamics in 1D [3, 4].

If prior to these experimental developments theoretical studies of mobile impurities in 1D systems were mainly concerned with equilibrium properties and spectral characteristics (see [5] and references therein), the theoretical focus has now shifted to the analysis of non-equilibrium time-resolved phenomena. A rich variety of such phenomena relating to the time evolution of the impurity momentum have recently been predicted. These include quasi-Bloch oscillations [6–8], quantum flutter [9, 10] and relaxation to a non-thermal state [9–11].

The present theoretical understanding of these phenomena is not complete and number of outstanding questions remain. For example, what are the physical conditions of the occurrence of Bloch oscillations? Are those oscillations damped at zero temperature and if so what factors determine damping rate? What are the properties of the non-thermal state? How does it depend on initial conditions?

In our earlier paper [1] we proposed to address these questions by considering a simple yet nontrivial model of a single impurity weakly coupled by a point-like interaction to a degenerate Tonks-Girardeau gas. Ref. [1] advocated the use of Boltzmann kinetic theory and reported certain rigorous results on the properties of a non-thermal steady state in the absence of an external force. In the present paper we give a more detailed derivation of the results of Ref. [1] and analyze the dynamics of an impurity under the action of a constant force F.

Our main results are as follows. We demonstrate that the quasi-Bloch oscillations are present in the heavy impurity case and *absent* in the light impurity case. The Bloch oscillations are shown to be damped with a rate proportional to F^2 in the limit of weak force. At large times a steady state is established. We determine the steady-state momentum as a function of the applied force. In particular, in the limit of weak force the steady-state momentum is found to be force independent for a light impurity and proportional to $\sqrt{F}$ for a heavy impurity.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe the model, discuss various regimes and overview known results. In Sec. III we describe the system investigated in present work and introduce basic notations. Sec. IV is devoted to the peculiar one-dimensional kinematics of the problem. In Sec. V the Boltzmann equation without a force is introduced and an algorithm for its iterative solution is presented, along with exact solutions in special cases. In Sec. VI the integral equation on the infinite-time momentum of the impurity (in the absence of a force) is introduced and an algorithm for its iterative solution is presented, along with exact solutions in special cases. Sec. VII is devoted to the dynamics of an impurity under the action of a constant force. The quasi-Bloch oscillations and the steady state are quantitatively described. The summary and concluding remarks are given in Sec. VIII. In the Appendix the integral equation for the infinite-time momentum is derived from the Boltzmann equation.

II. MODEL AND OVERVIEW OF KNOWN RESULTS

In this section we describe a model of an impurity immersed a 1D host gas and give a brief overview of recent results on the dynamics of the impurity at zero temperature. The host gas is a gas of bosons described by the

Hamiltonian

$$H_h = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{p_n^2}{2m_h} + \gamma_h \frac{\rho}{m_h} \sum_{n<n'} \delta(x_n - x_{n'}) \,, \qquad (1)$$

where p_n and x_n are momentum and coordinate of the n'th host particle respectively, γ_h is the dimensionless coupling constant and $\rho \equiv N/L$ is the linear density of the host gas. The impurity has mass m_i and interacts with the host through the interaction term

$$V = \gamma \frac{\rho}{m_h} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta(X - x_n). \qquad (2)$$

Here X is coordinate of the impurity and interaction strength is characterized by the coupling constant γ. Here and in the following subscript h and i refer to the host and to the impurity respectively.

The dynamics of the impurity is determined by the two dimensionless coupling constants γ and γ_h, by the mass ratio $\eta \equiv m_i/m_h$ and by the constant force F if it is applied to the impurity.

The value of the host-host coupling, γ_h, determines the physics of the host gas. Two limiting cases are well understood theoretically. In the Bogolyubov limit of small γ_h the problem is amenable to mean-field treatment [12, 13], while in the Tonks-Girardeau limit of infinitely large γ_h the bosons can be mapped on the noninteracting fermions [14]. From the experimental point of view, a wide range of values of γ_h (as well as γ) can be explored due to the tunability of the scattering length through the Feschbach resonance [2].

The mass ratio η is on the order of unity in the case of atomic impurities studied in recent experiments [3, 4]. For the theoretical treatment the exact value of η is of crucial importance. Firstly, if $\eta = 1$ and either $\gamma_h = \infty$ [15] or $\gamma_h = \gamma$ [16, 17], the model is integrable by Bethe Ansatz. Secondly, in the case of an impurity weakly coupled to a Tonks-Girardeau gas, $\eta = 1$ is a singular point no matter whether or not integrability is present [1**?**]. Finally, cases of light ($\eta < 1$) and heavy ($\eta > 1$) impurities qualitatively differ from each other and from the case of equal masses [1, 7].

Theoretical papers [1, 6–11] explored various regions of parameter space with a range of methods. In [6–8] the motion of an impurity driven by a constant force was investigated by methods of quantum hydrodynamics. It was predicted that under certain conditions the momentum of an impurity immersed in a 1D gas exhibits oscillations resembling the Bloch oscillations in an ideal crystal [6]. A wide range of parameters was discussed in [6–8], however most of the quantitative results were obtained for a host gas in the Bogolyubov regime (small γ_h) or for the case $\gamma, \gamma_h \gg 1$.

The relaxation of the momentum of an impurity injected in a 1D gas with some initial momentum p_0 was studied in [9, 10]. In In Ref. [9] the integrable case $\eta = 1$, $\gamma_h = \infty$ was mainly considered using a combination of analytical and numerical techniques. The nonintegrable case of nonequal masses and $4 \leq \gamma_h \leq \infty$ was numerically studied in [10]. The impurity-host coupling in the range $3 \leq \gamma \leq 20$ was considered in both Refs. [9, 10]. Two intriguing effects were discovered in [9, 10]. Firstly, the impurity momentum as a function of time was found to exhibit oscillations around some average value. This phenomenon was dubbed "quantum flutter" [9]. Secondly, despite the observed damping of the oscillations the average momentum does not appear to change significantly with time. This indicates that the momentum of the impurity at infinite time, p_∞, is nonzero. This seems to be in apparent conflict with the predicted absence of superfluidity in the system [18].

Recently we have reported analytical results on the relaxation of the impurity momentum [1] obtained for an impurity weakly coupled to a 1D Bose gas in the Tonks-Girardeau limit. In the general case of nonequal masses the reported results have been based on the Boltzmann equation. The applicability conditions of the Boltzmann equation in 1D systems are subtle. For our system these include $\gamma^2 \ll 1$ and $|\eta - 1| \gg \gamma$ [1]. A detailed derivation of the Boltzmann equation from the macroscopic quantum theory is reserved to the separate publication [**?**]. Previously the Boltzmann equation was applied to describe a mobile impurity in a 1D gas at a finite temperature [19], where the impurity mobility was calculated.

III. THE SCOPE OF THE PRESENT WORK

We consider the Tonks-Girardeau limit in which $\gamma_h = +\infty$. In this limit a gas of host bosons can be mapped on the gas of noninteracting fermions [20]. We find that the fermionic description provides better insight into the physics of the problem. Therefore, we use this description in what follows. Needless to say, our results are equally applicable for a genuine free fermion host. The latter, however, is less relevant in the context of current experiments [2].

Thus, we consider a single impurity particle immersed in a gas of N identical noninteracting fermions with density ρ. The Fermi momentum and time are defined as $k_\mathrm{F} = \pi\rho$ and $t_\mathrm{F} \equiv 2m_h/k_\mathrm{F}^2$, respectively. In order to simplify the notations we henceforth set $k_\mathrm{F} = 1$ unless explicitly specified. We work in the limit of weak impurity-host coupling γ.

Our main goal is to find the distribution function of the impurity momentum $w_k(t)$, and investigate its behavior at $t \to \infty$. This can be used for the calculation of the average momentum of the impurity in the infinite time limit:

$$p_\infty = \lim_{t\to\infty} \sum_k k \; w_k(t) \,. \qquad (3)$$

We assume the following initial conditions at $t = 0$. The fermions are in the ground state of noninteracting Hamiltonian H_h (Fermi sea). The impurity is in a statistical



FIG. 1. Kinematically allowed regions for a single pairwise scattering in the cases of the light (left) and heavy (right) impurity. The final momentum of the impurity, k, is shown *vs* the initial momentum of the impurity, q.

mixture of the impurity momentum eigenstates. Due to the linearity of the problem one can focus on an initial condition with a fixed impurity momentum,

$$w_k(0) = \delta_{k,p_0}\,, \tag{4}$$

where, without loss of generality, $p_0 > 0$. Where this specific form of the initial condition is essential, we use the notations $w_{p_0\to k}(t)$ and $w^{\infty}_{p_0\to k}$ instead of $w_k(t)$ and $w_k(t=\infty)$.

IV. KINEMATICS

Understanding the kinematics of pairwise scattering in one dimension is essential for studying the dynamics of the impurity. From the classical point of view, a scattering event in one dimension can be characterized by two momenta, e.g. the initial, q, and the final, k, momenta of the impurity. The other two (in our case, the initial s and the final l momenta of the host particle) are fixed by the energy and momentum conservation laws:

$$\frac{q^2}{2m_i} + \frac{s^2}{2m_h} = \frac{k^2}{2m_i} + \frac{l^2}{2m_h}\,, \tag{5}$$

$$q + s = k + l\,. \tag{6}$$

In addition, the Pauli principle applied to host fermions, imposes

$$|s| < 1\ \ , |l| > 1 \tag{7}$$

that restricts possible values of k and q to a certain region Ω in the (q,k) plane.

The region Ω is shown in Fig. 1. It consists of two disjoint pieces $\Omega = \Omega_> \sqcup \Omega_<$. We denote the upper and lower boundaries of Ω as $u(q)$ and $d(q)$, respectively. They also consist of disjoint pieces, $u(q) = u_>(q) \sqcup u_<(q)$ and $d(q) = d_>(q) \sqcup d_<(q)$, and are constructed from segments of the following lines:

$$\begin{cases} k_{\rm top}(q) &= \frac{\eta-1}{\eta+1}q + \frac{2\eta}{\eta+1}, \\ k_{\rm bot}(q) &= \frac{\eta-1}{\eta+1}q - \frac{2\eta}{\eta+1}, \\ k_{\rm left}(q) &= \frac{\eta+1}{\eta-1}q + \frac{2\eta}{\eta-1}, \\ k_{\rm right}(q) &= \frac{\eta+1}{\eta-1}q - \frac{2\eta}{\eta-1}. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Notice that a segment of $k_{\rm left}(q)$ is a part of either the $u_<(q)$, or $d_<(q)$, depending on the mass ratio η; an analogous statement holds for $k_{\rm right}(q)$.

Considering the initial momentum p_0 one can identify regions in the momentum space corresponding to the qualitatively different behavior of the impurity. For $|p_0| < q_0 \equiv \min\{1,\eta\}$ the impurity can not scatter on host fermions due to the Pauli blocking, so the impurity momentum is conserved. Obviously, if after several scattering events the impurity momentum drops below q_0, scattering stops. Further, there exist a point $q_1 > q_0$ such that, whenever $q_0 < |p_0| < q_1$, a single scattering transfers the impurity momentum below q_0. In general, there exists an infinite ascending sequence $\{q_n\}$ with the following property: the impurity momentum is transferred below q_0 in no more than n scattering events whenever $|p_0| < q_n$. The recursive definition for the sequence reads

$$q_{n-1} = \max\{|u(q_n)|, |d(q_n)|\}. \tag{9}$$

This sequence converges to $q_\infty = \max\{1, \eta\}$ with $n \to \infty$. The first three q_n are given in Table I.

TABLE I. q_0, q_1, q_2 and q_∞ for light and heavy impurity.

	q_0	q_1	q_2	...	q_∞
$\eta < 1$	η	$\frac{(3-\eta)\eta}{1+\eta}$	$\frac{(\eta^2-2\eta+5)\eta}{(1+\eta)^2}$	...	1
$\eta > 1$	1	$\frac{3\eta-1}{1+\eta}$	$\frac{5\eta^2-2\eta+1}{(1+\eta)^2}$	...	η

Note that the case of equal masses ($\eta = 1$) is special: $\Omega_>$ and $\Omega_<$ are two rectangular horizontal stripes and the whole sequence $\{q_n\}$ collapses to a single point, $q_n = 1\ \forall n$. At first glance this may look as a simplification; however, in fact the kinematics of the equal mass case represents a major difficulty for derivation and application of the Boltzmann equation [1, 21], which is discussed in more detail below.

V. BOLTZMANN EQUATION

A. Preliminary considerations

The above kinematical reasoning can be used as a starting point for the Boltzmann kinetic theory. It is well known, however, that in one dimension this should be done with caution. Indeed, the validity of the Boltzmann equation relies on the Lorentzian shape of the particle's spectral function such as in the Fermi liquid theory [22]. At the same time spectral functions of particles in 1D quantum fluids tend to exhibit essentially non-Lorentzian shapes in the vicinity of the mass shell [5, 23]. This is not true, however, when the mass shell of a particle falls into a broad spectral continuum of excitations into which it can decay [24]: in this case the quasi-Lorentzian shape of a spectral function is restored. The latter fact makes it possible to apply Boltzmann's kinetic theory to our problem. We reserve rigorous proof of this statement (based on resummation of relevant diagrams in Keldysh technique) for a separate publication [21]. Here, instead, we present a heuristic derivation of the Boltzmann equation based on the straightforward application of the leading order perturbation theory. This approach quickly leads to a correct result and, as a side product, yields p^∞ in the next-to-leading order ($\sim \gamma^2$) in the case when $|p_0|$ is below and sufficiently far from q_0 (see Eq. (40) below). Afterwards, we summarize the applicability conditions for the Boltzmann equation which follow from the rigorous treatment [21].

The leading order of the time-dependent perturbation theory gives the following expression for $w_k(t)$:

$$\frac{w_k(t) - w_k(0)}{t} = -\tilde{\Gamma}_k(t) w_k(0) + \sum_q \tilde{\Gamma}_{q\to k}(t) w_q(0)\,. \tag{10}$$

Here

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{q\to k}(t) \equiv \gamma^2 \left(\frac{1}{\pi m_h L}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{|s|<1 \\ |l|>1}} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{\Delta E^{k,l}_{q,s} t}{2}}{(\Delta E^{k,l}_{q,s}/2)^2\ t} \delta_{k+l,q+s}, \tag{11}$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_k(t) \equiv \sum_p \tilde{\Gamma}_{k\to p}(t) \tag{12}$$

and $\Delta E^{k,l}_{q,s}$ is a difference between final and initial kinetic energy of a pair of colliding particles (the impurity and a host fermion):

$$\Delta E^{k,l}_{q,s} \equiv \frac{k^2 - q^2}{2m_{\rm i}} + \frac{l^2 - s^2}{2m_h}. \tag{13}$$

For times considerably greater than the Fermi time $t_{\rm F}$ one can replace

$$\frac{\sin^2 \frac{\Delta E^{k,l}_{q,s} t}{2}}{(\Delta E^{k,l}_{q,s}/2)^2\ t} \to 2\pi\delta(\Delta E^{k,l}_{q,s}) \tag{14}$$

which is essentially the treatment leading to the Fermi's golden rule. One the other hand Eq. (10) suggests that $w_k(t)$ varies slowly on time scales $\sim t_{\rm F}$, hence the l.h.s of Eq. (10) can be replaced by the time derivative at $t \simeq 0$. Assuming further that the evolution is Markovian, one extends the equation to $t > 0$. This way one obtains the Boltzmann equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w_k(t) = -\Gamma_k w_k(t) + \sum_q \Gamma_{q\to k} w_q(t), \tag{15}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{q\to k} = \frac{\gamma^2}{\pi^2 m_h L} \frac{\theta_\Omega(q,k)}{|q-k|}\,, \tag{16}$$

is the partial width and $\Gamma_k \equiv \sum_p \Gamma_{k\to p}$ is the total width, where $\theta_\Omega(q,k)$ means that point (q,k) lays in domain Ω. The explicit expressions for the dimensionless total width

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_k \equiv \left(\frac{\gamma^2 k_{\rm F}^2}{2\pi^3 m_h}\right)^{-1} \Gamma_k = \left|\log\frac{k - d(k)}{k - u(k)}\right| \theta(|k| - q_0), \tag{17}$$

calculated in the thermodynamic limit, are presented in Table II (note that we have restored $k_{\rm F}$ in this formula).

Rigorous diagrammatic derivation of the Boltzmann equation (15) provides its applicability conditions which read [1, 21]

$$\gamma^2 \ll 1, \qquad |1 - \eta| \gg \gamma. \tag{18}$$

While the former condition is merely an obvious weak-coupling requirement, the latter one does not follow from the above heuristic treatment and deserves a special discussion. This condition implies that the the Boltzmann

TABLE II. Total dimensionless decay width $\mathbf{\Gamma}_k$ (defined in Eq. (17)) calculated in the thermodynamic limit.

	$\lvert k\rvert < q_0$	$q_0 \le \lvert k\rvert < q_\infty$		$\lvert k\rvert \ge q_\infty$
		$\eta < 1$	$\eta > 1$	
$\mathbf{\Gamma}_k$	0	$\log\frac{1+\eta}{1-\eta}$	$\log\frac{\eta+\lvert k\rvert}{\eta-\lvert k\rvert} - \log\frac{\eta+1}{\eta-1}$	$\log\frac{\lvert k\rvert+\eta}{\lvert k\rvert-\eta}$

equation (15) is invalid when the masses of the impurity and the host are equal. As was already pointed in the previous section, the kinematics is very special in the equal masses case. Indeed, a pairwise scattering leads merely to the exchange of momenta between an impurity and a host particle. Therefore after the *first* scattering the impurity drops below $k_{\rm F}$ and acquires the same velocity as the created hole. Further scattering processes are not forbidden by the conservation laws and Pauli principle, in contrast to the case of nonequal masses. As a consequence the diagrammatic expansion appears to be dominated by ladder diagrams which modify the form of the evolution equation. The second applicability condition ensures that such diagrams can be disregarded.

B. Iterative solution

Thanks to a peculiar kinematics discussed in Sec. IV, the Boltzmann equation can be solved by iterations. Let us concentrate on the initial condition (4). First, obviously,

$$w_{p_0\to k}(t) = \delta_{p_0 k} \qquad \text{for} \qquad |p_0| \le q_0. \tag{19}$$

Further, if $|p_0|$ lies in the interval $(q_{n-1}, q_n]$ (and thus is less than q_∞), then the Boltzmann equation can be solved *exactly* in $(n+1)$ iterations. Moreover, lower number of iterations, $l \le n$, provide an exact solution for $w_{p_0\to k}(t)$ with $|k| > q_{n-l}$. We first exemplify this statement by providing the exact solutions for $n = 1, 2$ and then outline a general iterative procedure.

The solution of Eq. (15) for initial conditions (4) with $q_0 < |p_0| \le q_1$ reads

$$w_{p_0\to k}(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}\delta_{p_0 k}, & |k| > q_0, \\ \frac{\Gamma_{p_0\to k}}{\Gamma_{p_0}}(1 - e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}), & |k| \le q_0. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

The solution of Eq. (15) for initial conditions (4) with $q_1 < |p_0| \le q_2$ reads

$$w_{p_0\to k}(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}\delta_{p_0 k}, & |k| > q_1, \\ \frac{\Gamma_{p_0\to k}}{\Gamma_{p_0}-\Gamma_k}(e^{-\Gamma_k t} - e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}), & q_0 < |k| \le q_1, \\ \frac{\Gamma_{p_0\to k}}{\Gamma_{p_0}}(1 - e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}) + \\ \quad \sum\limits_{q_0<|q|\le q_1} \frac{\Gamma_{p\to q}\Gamma_{q\to k}}{\Gamma_{p_0}-\Gamma_q}\left(\frac{1-e^{-\Gamma_q t}}{\Gamma_q} - \frac{1-e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}}{\Gamma_{p_0}}\right), & |k| < q_0 \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

in the heavy impurity caseand

$$w_{p_0\to k}(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}\delta_{p_0 k}, & |k| > q_1, \\ \Gamma_{p_0\to k}\, t\, e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}, & q_0 < |k| \le q_1, \\ \frac{\Gamma_{p_0\to k}}{\Gamma_{p_0}}(1 - e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}) + \\ \quad \sum\limits_{q_0<|q|\le q_1} \frac{\Gamma_{p\to q}\Gamma_{q\to k}}{\Gamma_{p_0}^2}\left(1 - e^{-\Gamma_{p_0} t}(1+\Gamma_{p_0} t)\right), & |k| < q_0 \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

in the light impurity case. The difference between former and latter comes from the fact that Γ_k is constant for $k \in [q_1, q_\infty]$ in the case of a light impurity; formally Eq. (22) can be obtained from Eq. (21) by taking the limit $\Gamma_k, \Gamma_q \to \Gamma_{p_0}$.

The above sequence of solutions can be systematically continued to account for an arbitrary p_0. To this end we introduce a new function $\mathsf{w}_{p_0\to k}$ such that

$$w_{p_0\to k}(t) = e^{-\Gamma_k t}\mathsf{w}_{p_0\to k}(t) \tag{23}$$

and rewrite the Boltzmann equation (15) in terms of this new function:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathsf{w}_{p_0\to k}(t) = \sum_q \mathsf{w}_{p_0\to q}(t)\,\Gamma_{q\to k}\, e^{-(\Gamma_q-\Gamma_k)t}, \tag{24}$$

or

$$\mathsf{w}_{p_0\to k}(t) = \delta_{p_0 k} + \sum_q \int_0^t dt' \mathsf{w}_{p_0\to q}(t')\,\Gamma_{q\to k}\, e^{-(\Gamma_q-\Gamma_k)t'}. \tag{25}$$

The above equation can be solved iteratively thanks to the fact that only terms with $|q| > |k|$ contribute to the sum on the r.h.s. (according to the expression (16) for the partial width $\Gamma_{q\to k}$), and therefore one can calculate $\mathsf{w}_{p_0\to k}$ consequentially, starting from the largest $k = p_0$. Indeed, consider $p_0 \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$. The first step is to note that $\mathsf{w}_{p_0\to k}(t) = \delta_{p_0 k}$ for $k \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$. Assume now that after $l-1$ steps we know $\mathsf{w}_{p_0\to k}(t)$ for $|k| > q_{n-l+1}$. We substitute it to the r.h.s. of Eq. (25) to obtain $\mathsf{w}_{p_0\to k}(t)$ for $|k| \in (q_{n-l}, q_{n-l+1}]$, which constitutes the l'th step. Evidently, the procedure ends in $(n+1)$ steps. Solutions (20), (21) and (22) are obtained through this procedure.

Note that the above procedure can be somewhat improved. Indeed, at the first step one can substitute the interval $(q_{n-1}, q_n]$ by the interval $(\max\{|u(p_0)|, |d(p_0)|\}, p_0]$, and proceed analogously at further steps.

In the case $p_0 > q_\infty$ an exact solution can not be obtained in finite number of steps, however Eq. (25) can be used to obtain an approximate solution.

Finally, let us write down the solution to the Boltzmann equation (15) for a more general initial condition: $w_k(0) = 0$ for $|k| > q_1$. The solution reads

$$w_k(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-\Gamma_k t} w_k(0), & |k| > q_0, \\ w_k(0) + \sum\limits_{q_0 < |q| \le q_1} (1 - e^{-\Gamma_q t}) \frac{\Gamma_{q\to k}}{\Gamma_q} w_q(0), & |k| \le q_0. \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

The simplicity of the solution is due to the fact that for a fixed k either the first or the second term on the r.h.s. of Boltzmann equation (15) vanishes.

VI. EQUILIBRIUM STATE IN THE ABSENCE OF FORCE

As is shown in appendix A, Eq. (15) leads to the following integral equation on asymptotic distribution:

$$w^{\infty}_{p_0\to k} = \begin{cases} \theta(q_0 - |k|) \left(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{p_0\to k} + \sum\limits_{q\in\mathcal{R}(p_0)} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{p_0\to q} w^{\infty}_{q\to k} \right), & |p_0| > q_0, \\ \delta_{p_0 k}, & |p_0| < q_0, \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{p_0\to k} = \Gamma_{p_0\to k}/\Gamma_{p_0} \tag{28}$$

is the probability that the impurity changes its momentum from p_0 to k in a *single* scattering event, and $\mathcal{R}(p_0) \equiv [d(p_0), u(p_0)] \setminus [-q_0, q_0]$ is a kinematically determined integration region.

Eq. (27) has a clear semiclassical meaning. In the case $|p_0| < q_0$ any scattering is kinematically forbidden, as discussed in Sec. IV, and the relaxation does not occur. Consider the case $|p_0| > q_0$. After the first scattering a momentum of the impurity can be either below or above q_0. In the former case the evolution stops, which is represented by the first term in the large brackets in Eq. (27); in the latter case the scattering process iterates, which is represented by the second term in the large brackets in Eq. (27).

One can easily write down the solution of Eq. (27). Consider $|p_0| \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$, $n \ge 1$. Then the solution reads

$$w^{\infty}_{p_0\to k} = \theta(q_0 - |k|) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{P}^{(j)}_{p_0\to k}, \tag{29}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}^{(j)}_{p_0\to k} = \sum_{|q|>q_0} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{p_0\to q} \mathcal{P}^{(j-1)}_{q\to k} \tag{30}$$

is the probability of the evolution path which leads from p_0 to k in exactly j scattering events. In case of $|p_0| > q_\infty$ the upper limit of summation, n, should be substituted by ∞.

Calculating the first moment of the distribution (27) (with respect to k) we find an integral equation for the asymptotic momentum,

$$p_\infty(p_0) = \begin{cases} p^{(1)}_\infty(p_0) + \sum\limits_{q\in\mathcal{R}(p_0)} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{p_0\to q} p_\infty(q), & |p_0| > q_0, \\ p_0, & |p_0| < q_0, \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

where

$$p^{(1)}_\infty(p_0) \equiv \sum_{k=-q_0}^{q_0} k\ \mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{p_0\to k}.$$

The solution of this equation is obtained from the solution (29) of the parent equation (27). If $|p_0| \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$, $n \ge 1$, then

$$p_\infty(p_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p^{(j)}_\infty(p_0), \tag{32}$$

where

$$p^{(j)}_\infty(p_0) \equiv \sum_{|k|\le q_0} k\ \mathcal{P}^{(j)}_{p_0\to k} = \sum_{|q|>q_0} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{p_0\to q} p^{(j-1)}_\infty(q). \tag{33}$$

In the case of $|p_0| > q_\infty$ the upper limit of summation, n, should be substituted by ∞.

Observe that g^2 cancels out from the probability $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}_{p_0\to k}$. As a result, the asymptotic distribution $w^{\infty}_{p_0\to k}$ given by Eq. (29) and the infinite-time momentum p_∞given by Eq. (32) do not depend on the coupling constant at all. This remarkable fact will be discussed in what follows.

The recurrent nature of expressions for $\mathcal{P}^{(j)}_{p_0\to k}$ and $p^{(j)}_\infty(p_0)$, Eqs. (30) and (33) correspondingly, implies that in fact the solutions (29) and (32) are constructed iteratively, in resemblance to the solution of the Boltzmann equation (15). Namely, the calculation of the j'th terms on the r.h.s. of Eqs. (29) and (32) constitutes the j'th step of iteration.

Although formally we have solved the integral equations (27) and (31), practical implementation of the full solutions (29) and (32) can be tedious because of a large or even infinite number of terms in the corresponding sums. A natural question arises whether one can truncate these sums at some small j to obtain reliable approximation to the corresponding exact solutions. The answer appears to be affirmative. Below we demonstrate this for the infinite-time momentum.

We define an approximate solution

$$p^{(1,\dots,l)}_\infty(p_0) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{l} p^{(j)}_\infty(p_0) \tag{34}$$

with $l < n$ and study the difference between it and the exact solution (32). This difference appears to be bounded



FIG. 2. The asymptotic impurity momentum p_∞ as a function of the initial impurity momentum p_0 when impurity is lighter (left) and heavier (right) than a host particle. Solid blue line – an iterative solution (two iterations) of Eq. (31). This solution is exact below q_2 (not shown) and approximate above this point. Shaded area (green online) represents the maximal error: the exact solution of Eq. (31) lies inside this area. Notice much better convergence of iterations in the light impurity case.

from above according to

$$|p_\infty(p_0) - p_\infty^{(1\ldots l)}(p_0)| \leq \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{l} \mathcal{P}_{p_0}^{(j)}\right) q_0, \qquad (35)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{p_0}^{(j)} \equiv \sum_{k=-q_0}^{q_0} \mathcal{P}_{p_0\to k}^{(j)} = \sum_{|q|>q_0} \mathcal{P}_{p_0\to q}^{(1)} \mathcal{P}_q^{(j-1)} \qquad (36)$$

is the probability that the impurity experiences *exactly* j scatterings before its momentum drops below q_0. This bound again has a clear semiclassical meaning: the maximal error in momentum after l iterations does not exceed the maximal possible infinite-time momentum, q_0, times the probability that the impurity experiences *more* than l scatterings before its momentum drops below q_0.

According to the estimate (35), the upper bound of the discrepancy $|p_\infty(p_0) - p_\infty^{(1\ldots l)}(p_0)|$ monotonically approaches zero with increasing l for any fixed p_0, except the case $\eta > 1$, $p_0 = \eta$. In the latter case, which is discussed in more details in what follows, the total width Γ_{p_0} diverges and thus the probabilities $\mathcal{P}_{p_0}^{(j)}$ are not well defined. Apart from the above-mentioned special case, the estimate (35) allows to explicitly control the convergence of the iterative procedure which leads to the solution (29) for the infinite-time momentum $p_\infty(p_0)$.

Let us describe in more detail the first iteration. The probability $\mathcal{P}_{p_0}^{(1)}$ reads

$$\mathcal{P}_{p_0}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 0, & |p_0| \leq q_0, \\ \mathbf{\Gamma}_{p_0}^{-1} \left|\log\left|\frac{\Delta_d(p_0)}{\Delta_u(p_0)}\right|\right|, & |p_0| \in \left(q_0, \frac{3\eta+q_0^2}{|\eta-1|}\right], \\ 0, & |p_0| > \frac{3\eta+q_0^2}{|\eta-1|}, \end{cases} \qquad (37)$$

where $\Delta_d(p) = p - \max\{d(p), -q_0\}$ and $\Delta_u(p) = p - \min\{u(p), q_0\}$ are the maximum and minimum momentum transferred in scattering event, correspondingly. In particular, in the case $|p_0| > \frac{3\eta+q_0^2}{|\eta-1|}$ the momentum of the impurity is kinematically prohibited to drop below q_0 in a single scattering. Note that for $q_0 < p_0 \leq q_1$ Eq. (37) amounts to $\mathcal{P}_{p_0}^{(1)} = 1$. We recall that the expression for $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{p_0}$ is given by Eq. (17) and can be read from Table II. The contribution of the first iteration to the asymptotic momentum reads

$$p_\infty^{(1)}(p_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & |p_0| \leq q_0, \\ p_0 \mathcal{P}_{p_0}^{(1)} - (\Delta_d(p_0) - \Delta_u(p_0))/\mathbf{\Gamma}_{p_0}, & \\ & |p_0| \in \left(q_0, \frac{3\eta+q_0^2}{|\eta-1|}\right], \\ 0, & |p_0| > \frac{3\eta+q_0^2}{|\eta-1|}. \end{cases} \qquad (38)$$

The infinite-time momentum of the impurity as a function of its initial momentum, calculated in two iterations, is displayed in Fig. 2 The plotted curve represents the exact solution of Eq. (31) for $|p_0| \leq q_2$ and an approximate solution for larger values of p_0. In the latter case

maximal error, calculated according to Eq. (35), is also shown.

The solution of Eq. (31) as a function of p_0 has non-analyticities at $p_0 = q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_\infty$. The most prominent discontinuity occurs for $\eta > 1$ at $|p_0| = q_0 = 1$. In this case, according to Eqs. (32) and (38),

$$p_\infty(p_0) = \begin{cases} p_0, & |p_0| < 1 \\ -1 + \frac{\eta^2+1}{\eta^2-1}(p_0-1) + O\left((p_0-1)^2\right), & p_0 > 1, \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

One can see that the infinite-time momentum as a function of p_0 flips sign and $p_0 = 1$. The reason is that backscattering with momentum transfer $2k_\mathrm{F}$ is the only process allowed kinematically at this point, see Fig. 1.

Another type of singularity shows up at $p_0 = \eta$. This is the only point at which the forward scattering (scattering with zero momentum transfer) is kinematically allowed. In the heavy impurity case, $\eta > 1$, the forward scattering contribution leads to the divergence of the total width in the thermodynamic limit, see Table II, and precludes the convergence of the series on the r.h.s. of Eqs. (29) and (32). The convergence of the series in the vicinity of this point is non-uniform in p_0. The physical picture behind this singularity is as follows: In the thermodynamic limit, the impurity scatters infinitely many times with infinitely small momentum transfer before its momentum drops below q_0.

We believe that the non-analyticities at $p_0 = q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_\infty$ are the artifacts of the weak coupling approximation which underlies the Boltzmann equation (15). Consider the *exact* asymptotic momentum as a function of the initial momentum and coupling constant and imagine that it is expanded in a series with respect to γ. Eqs. (31) are nothing else than the equations for the leading (i.e. $O(1)$) term of this expansion. We expect that taking into account higher order terms would smoothen the above-mentioned non-analyticities.

In general, our approach based on the semiclassical kinetic theory is not suitable for obtaining next-to-leading in γ terms. However, in the case when $|p_0| < q_0$ and, moreover, p_0 is sufficiently far from q_0, one can drive higher order corrections from the straightforward perturbation theory discussed in Sec. V A. In particular, the asymptotic momentum reads

$$p_\infty = p_0 - \frac{\gamma^2\eta^2}{\pi^4}\frac{\log\frac{1+p_0}{1-p_0}}{\eta^2 - p_0^2}. \quad (40)$$

This expression is valid if $q_0 - p_0 \gg \Delta p$ where

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta p = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^4}{\gamma^2}\frac{\eta^2-1}{\eta^2}\right), & \eta > 1 \\ \Delta p = \frac{\gamma^2\eta}{\pi^4}, & \eta < 1 \end{array}, \quad (41)$$

which ensures that correction on the r.h.s. of (40) is small.

VII. IMPURITY UNDER THE ACTION OF A CONSTANT FORCE

A. Time evolution

The Boltzmann equation in the presence of a constant force F reads

$$\frac{\partial w_k(t)}{\partial t} + F\frac{\partial w_k(t)}{\partial k} = -\Gamma_k w_k(t) + \sum_q \Gamma_{q\to k} w_q(t). \quad (42)$$

Without loss of generality we assume $F > 0$. Here we turn to the thermodynamic limit treating k as a continuous variable and replacing all sums by integrals according to $\sum_q \to L/2\pi \int dq$. We replace probability w_k by probability distribution $w(k) = w_k L/(2\pi)$. Also it is useful to introduce re-scaled dimensionless force and time:

$$f \equiv 2\pi^3 m_h F/(\gamma^2 k_\mathrm{F}^3), \quad \tau \equiv t/\left(\frac{2\pi^3 m_h}{\gamma^2 k_\mathrm{F}^2}\right), \quad (43)$$

where we have restored k_F. Note that the coupling constant γ enters the scaling factors. Finally, one can rewrite Eq. (42) in the following form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} w(k,\tau) = \hat{L}\, w(k,\tau), \quad (44)$$

where the operator $\hat{L}$ is defined according to

$$\hat{L}\, w(k,\tau) = -f\frac{\partial w(k,\tau)}{\partial k} - w(k,\tau)\int dq \frac{\theta_\Omega(k,q)}{|k-q|} + \int dq \frac{\theta_\Omega(q,k)}{|k-q|} w(q,\tau). \quad (45)$$

In addition to the applicability conditions discussed in Sec. V one more condition the required for the Boltzmann equation with force (42). Indeed, one needs to make sure that the time $\Delta p/F$ which impurity spends in the Δp-vicinity of q_0 (41) is much less than the mean free time. This entails following applicability conditions

$$f \gg e^{-\frac{\pi^4}{\gamma^2}\frac{\eta^2-1}{\eta^2}}, \qquad \eta > 1, \quad (46)$$

$$f \gg \gamma^2\eta, \qquad \eta < 1. \quad (47)$$

We note that in the heavy impurity case the lower bound for f exponentially suppressed in the limit of small γ.

B. Quasi-Bloch oscillations

Eq. (44) can be easily solved numerically, either directly or by the Monte-Carlo method. In the latter case a trajectory of the impurity subject to a random scattering process is simulated. The evolution of the momentum of the impurity obtained from the Monte Carlo simulation is shown in Fig. 3. One can see that the motion of the heavy impurity under the action of a weak force exhibits



FIG. 3. Time evolution of the average momentum of the impurity moving under the action of a constant force. Initially the impurity is at rest. Solid curves – numerical solutions of the Boltzmann equation (44) with $f = 0.05$. Dashed lines – asymptotic momentum according to Eqs. (72) (light impurity) and (63) (heavy impurity). Quasi-Bloch oscillations are present in the heavy impurity case and absent in the light impurity case. The attenuation of the amplitude of the oscillations is shown by dotted line, see Eq. (50).

the quasi-Bloch oscillations, which are qualitatively similar to those discovered and studied in [6–8]. In contrast, in the light impurity case oscillations are absent.

This behavior can be easily understood from kinematical arguments. Indeed, consider an impurity initially at rest. The force accelerates it freely until its momentum reaches q_0. Then the impurity acquires a chance to scatter on a host particle. As is clear from Fig. 1, in the heavy impurity case the scattering process transfers the impurity to an opposite edge of the Fermi sea, after which it starts to freely accelerate again until its momentum reaches q_0, and so on. This is how quasi-Bloch oscillations emerge. Obviously, in the limit of small f the period of the oscillations reads $\tau_{\rm cycle} = 2/f$, or, restoring physical time, force and momentum,

$$t_{\rm cycle} = 2k_{\rm F}/F. \tag{48}$$

In contrast, if the impurity is light then the dominant scattering processes are those with small momentum transfer. They tend to freeze the momentum of the impurity in the vicinity of q_0, which leads to a rapid saturation of the momentum, as shown on Fig. 3.

The quasi-Bloch oscillations get damped since the distribution gets broadened by scattering events. In the long run the system approaches a steady state. The steady state and the damping rate can be found by solving the eigenvalue problem for the operator $\hat{L}$. The zero eigenvalue of $\hat{L}$ corresponds to the steady state, which is analyzed in detail in the next subsection. Here we briefly comment on the whole spectrum of $\hat{L}$ focusing on the heavy impurity case. For $f \ll 1$ one can show that the eigenvalues λ satisfy the following equation:

$$f = \int_{-1}^{1} dk \int_{1}^{\infty} dq\, \frac{\theta_\Omega(q,k)}{|k-q|} e^{-\frac{1}{f}\left(\int_1^q ds\, \mathbf{\Gamma}(s) + \lambda(q-p)\right)} \tag{49}$$

All solutions of (49), except $\lambda = 0$, have negative real parts. The smallest nonzero $|{\rm Re}\lambda|$ determines the damping rate at large times. This way we find that for $\eta > 1$ the amplitude of quasi-Bloch oscillations $A(\tau)$ is damped as

$$A(\tau) \sim \exp\left(-\pi^2 \frac{1+\eta^2/3-\pi/4}{\eta(\eta^2-1)} f^2 \tau\right), \quad f \ll 1\,. \tag{50}$$

We demonstrate on Fig. 3 that this enveloping function fits the numerically obtained quasi-Bloch oscillations.

Although we do confirm that quasi-Bloch oscillations discovered and studied in [6–8] can occur in our model, we find that the qualitative features as well as quantitative description of the phenomenon differ drastically from those described in [6–8]. First of all, we do not find oscillations in the light impurity case, while according to Refs. [6–8] the quasi-Bloch oscillations would occur for an impurity of *arbitrary* mass immersed in the Tonks-Girardeau gas. Further, we find that the dependence of the steady state momentum of the impurity on the applied force is non-analytic at $F = 0$, as is discussed in the following two subsections, while Refs. [6–8] predict a linear dependence. Finally, we quantitatively describe the damping of the quasi-Bloch oscillations when they are present, in contrast to [6–8] where the oscillations are treated as unattenuated. These controversies call for careful examination of the assumptions underlying the quantum hydrodynamic approach of Refs. [6–8] and their conditions of validity.

C. Steady state

The properties of the steady state of the system (state at infinite time) are of major interest. We are able to study them analytically. The steady state, if it exists, is

a solution of the equation

$$\hat{L}\, w(k) = 0. \tag{51}$$

Here and in the rest of this section we do not study any time dependance and use a notation $w(k)$ for a steady state.

The symmetric form of the r.h.s. of Eq. (45) allows us to present the steady state average of any quantity as

$$\int dp\, w(p) O(p) = \\ = \frac{1}{f} \int dk \int dq\, w(q) \frac{\theta_\Omega(q,k)}{|k-q|} \int_k^q ds\, O(s). \tag{52}$$

This representation is very useful because it shows that any average can be expressed through probabilities outside the domain $[-q_0, q_0]$. To emphasize this we introduce special notations:

$$w(k) = \begin{cases} \chi^>(k) & \text{if } k \geq q_0 \\ \chi^<(k) & \text{if } k \leq -q_0. \end{cases} \tag{53}$$

The probability distribution is determined by the Boltzmann equation up to a multiplicative constant. It should be deduced from the normalization condition, which according to Eq. (52) reads

$$1 = \frac{1}{f} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} dq\, \chi^>(q)(u_>(q) - d_>(q)) \\ - \frac{1}{f} \int_{-\infty}^{-q_0} dq\, \chi^<(q)(u_<(q) - d_<(q)). \tag{54}$$

In what follows we calculate unnormalized distribution and divide all averages by the r.h.s. of the above equation.

The average momentum according to Eq. (52) reads

$$p_\infty(f) = \\ \frac{1}{4f} \int_{q_0}^{\infty} dq\, \chi^>(q)(u_>(q) - d_>(q))(u_>(q) + d_>(q) + 2q) \\ -\frac{1}{4f} \int_{-\infty}^{-q_0} dq\, \chi^<(q)(u_<(q) - d_<(q))(u_<(q) + d_<(q) + 2q). \tag{55}$$

Now the task is to calculate $\chi^>(s)$ and $\chi^<(s)$. The results for $\eta > 1$ and $\eta < 1$ appear to be quite different. Below we consider these cases separately.

1. Heavy impurity

When the impurity is heavier that host particle Eq. (51) leads to

$$f \frac{d\chi^>(k)}{dk} = -\chi^>(k)\mathbf{\Gamma}(k) + \int_{u_>^{-1}(k)}^{d_>^{-1}(k)} ds \frac{\chi^>(s)}{s-k}, \tag{56}$$

$$f \frac{d\chi^<(k)}{dk} = -\chi^<(k)\mathbf{\Gamma}(k) + \int_{u_<^{-1}(k)}^{d_<^{-1}(k)} ds \frac{\chi^<(s)}{s-k}, \tag{57}$$

where $\mathbf{\Gamma}(k)$ equals to $\mathbf{\Gamma}_k$ (see Eq. (17)) calculated in the thermodynamic limit and can be read from Table II. We see that this is a set of decoupled equations. Moreover, $\chi^<(k)$ is identically zero (for a positive force), which can be proven by integrating both sides of Eq. (57) over k and taking into account non-negativity of the probability distribution.

The existence of the normalizable solution of (56) can be tested by considering large momentum asymptote. Taking into account

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(k) \sim \frac{2\eta}{k}, \quad k \gg 1, \tag{58}$$

one looks for an asymptote in the form $\chi^>(k) \sim k^{-\alpha}$ which gives the following relationship between f and α :

$$f = \frac{2\eta}{\alpha} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\eta-1}{\eta+1}\right)^\alpha\right) \tag{59}$$

Thus the normalizable distributions exists up to a critical force

$$f_{c0} \equiv \frac{4\eta}{1+\eta}, \tag{60}$$

while a finite average momentum – up to a critical force

$$f_{c1} \equiv 4\eta^2/(1+\eta)^2. \tag{61}$$

In the limit $f \to 0$ Eq. (59) implies $\alpha \to \infty$ and all the weight of $\chi^>(k)$ is concentrated near $k = 1$. Therefore the integral term in (56) can be neglected in this limit and one obtains

$$\chi^>(k) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{1}{f}\int_1^k ds\, \mathbf{\Gamma}(s)\right) = \\ = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{f}\left(k \log\frac{(\eta+k)(\eta-1)}{(\eta-k)(\eta+1)} + \eta \log\frac{\eta^2-k^2}{\eta^2-1}\right)\right) \\ \simeq \exp\left(-\frac{\eta(k-1)^2}{f(\eta^2-1)}\right), \tag{62}$$

up to a normalization factor. Calculating the normalization factor from (54) and substituting obtained $\chi^>(k)$ to



FIG. 4. Average momentum of a steady state, p_∞, *vs* dimensionless force f applied to the impurity (see Eq. (43) for definition of f). Black lines – asymptotical expressions in the small force limit according to Eqs. (72) and (63) for light and heavy impurity, correspondingly. Red dots – numerical solution of the steady state Boltzmann equation (51). The steady state momentum diverges at a critical force f_{c1} (marked by a dashed vertical line), which is given by Eqs. (70) and (61) for light and heavy impurity, correspondingly.

(55) we find that the average momentum of the steady state for a small force reads

$$p_\infty(f) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\eta^3}{\eta^2-1}\pi f}. \tag{63}$$

This approximation is valid for a force sufficiently weak to satisfy $\chi^>(1) \gg \chi^>(u_>^{-1}(1))$. Note that $u_>^{-1}(1)$ is nothing but q_1 (see Table I), therefore this approximation implies that all the probability spreads no far then the "single scattering" region. The explicit applicability condition reads

$$e^{-\frac{1}{f}\left(2\eta\log\left[\frac{\eta^2+4\eta-1}{(1+\eta)^2}\right]-\frac{(1-\eta)^2}{1+\eta}\log\left[\frac{\eta^2+4\eta-1}{\eta^2-1}\right]\right)} \ll 1. \tag{64}$$

In limiting cases this amounts to

$$f \lesssim \begin{cases} \eta-1, & \text{if } \eta-1 \ll 1, \\ 4/\eta, & \text{if } \eta \gg 1. \end{cases} \tag{65}$$

One can see that the approximation (63) fails both in the limit of equal masses and in the limit of infinitely heavy impurity. Nevertheless it works well for intermediate values of η in which case the condition (64) amounts to $f \lesssim 1/4$.

It is interesting to compare our result (63) with a prediction of Ref. [7] that the steady state momentum is a linear function of force at small forces. Although the two results are in apparent contradiction it is possible that square root dependence crosses over to a linear behavior at exponentially small forces determined by the condition (46).

2. *Light impurity*

For $\eta < 1$ one obtains from Eq. (51)

$$f\frac{d\chi^>(k)}{dk} = -\chi^>(k)\gamma^>(k) + \int\limits_{d_<^{-1}(k)}^{u_<^{-1}(k)} ds \frac{\chi^<(s)}{r-s}, \tag{66}$$

$$f\frac{d\chi^<(k)}{dk} = -\chi^<(k)\gamma^<(k) + \int\limits_{d_>^{-1}(k)}^{u_>^{-1}(k)} ds \frac{\chi^>(s)}{s-k}. \tag{67}$$

One can see that is the light impurity case the equations do not decouple. Repeating large momentum analysis we find following asymptotes:

$$\chi^>(k) \sim \frac{1}{k^\alpha}, \quad \chi^<(k) \sim \frac{2\eta}{2\eta+f\alpha}\left(\frac{1-\eta}{1+\eta}\right)^\alpha \frac{1}{k^\alpha} \tag{68}$$

and corresponding relationship between α and f:

$$f = \frac{2\eta}{\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{2\eta+f\alpha}\left(\frac{1-\eta}{1+\eta}\right)^{2\alpha}\right). \tag{69}$$

This relationship gives the critical values of force below which the static distribution (f_{c0}) and finite average momentum (f_{c1}) exist:

$$f_{c0} = \frac{4\eta^{3/2}}{1+\eta}, \quad f_{c1} = \frac{2\eta\sqrt{2\eta(1+\eta^2)}}{(1+\eta)^2} \tag{70}$$

From equation (69) we see that at a small force $\chi^>(k) \gg \chi^<(k)$, therefore we again can neglect the $\chi^<(k)$ contribution. A calculation analogous to one in the heavy impurity case leads to

$$\chi^>(k) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{k}{f}\log\frac{1+\eta}{1-\eta}\right) \tag{71}$$

and

$$p_\infty(f) \quad = \quad \eta \; - \; f\frac{2\eta^2}{1-\eta^2}\left(\log\frac{1+\eta}{1-\eta}\right)^{-1}, \quad (72)$$

where an additional simplification comes from the fact that $\mathbf{\Gamma}(k) = \text{const}$ for $\eta < k < 1$, see table II. The applicability condition for Eqs. (71), (72) reads $\chi^>(q_1) \ll \chi^>(q_0)$, or, explicitly,

$$\exp\left(-\frac{2\eta}{f}\frac{1-\eta}{1+\eta}\log\frac{1+\eta}{1-\eta}\right) \ll 1. \quad (73)$$

It is clear from this condition that the approximation does not work in limiting cases of equal masses or infinitely light impurity, however, it works well for intermediate values of η, at which case condition (73) amounts to $f \lesssim 1/4$, similar to the heavy impurity case.

We note the following remarkable qualitative feature of the steady state momentum (72). The function $p_\infty(f)$ has a non-vanishing value in the limit $f \to 0$. Even though this limit is formally inaccessible in our theory (see Eq. (47)) we believe that the jump discontinuity of steady state momentum as function of force is physical and is not an artefact of our approximation. The reason for this is the absence of physical process with large momentum transfer at impurity momentum equal to q_0. This results disagrees with predictions made in [7] that the steady state momentum is linear in force at small forces.

VIII. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

To summarize, we apply the Boltzmann kinetic theory to the motion of a mobile impurity in a Tonks-Girardeau gas at zero temperature. We develop the iterative procedure solving the Boltzmann equation. This procedure allows us to obtain explicit analytical results for the impurity momentum distribution in a number of special cases. Moreover, in the general case it provides a controlled approximate method for the calculation of the impurity's average momentum. Our solution reveals a striking peculiarity of the impurity dynamics. Namely, the system retains the memory about its initial state beyond that imposed by conservation laws, which signals the breakdown of thermalization (see Fig. 2). The microscopic reason for this is the kinematical constrains on two particle scattering processes combined with the dome structure of the host excitation spectrum. The latter is generic for one-dimensional fluids; some two- and three-dimensional fluids also possess this structure. We expect that the impurity in any such fluid at zero temperature fails to thermalize.

We further apply the Boltzmann kinetic theory to describe the motion of an impurity under the action of a constant force. We demonstrate that the quasi-Bloch oscillations are present in the case of a heavy impurity but absent in the case of a light impurity (see Fig. 3). The calculated damping rate of quasi-Bloch oscillations in the former case is found to be proportional to F^2, see Eq. (50). We thoroughly investigate the steady state of the impurity and find how it depends on the applied force. In particular, we find that the steady state momentum is a non-analytic function of the applied force F at $F = 0$. The structure of this non-analyticity depends on the impurity to host mass ratio. In the light impurity case the steady state momentum experiences a jump discontinuity at $F = 0$, see Eq. (72). For a heavy impurity the steady state momentum is proportional to $\sqrt{F}$, see Eq. (63). The latter singularity, however, might be regularized by quantum effects at exponentially small forces, which are beyond the scope of our method, see the applicability condition (46).

The developed kinetic theory admits for a simple physical interpretation. The collision of the impurity with a host particle leads to the creation of elementary excitations of the fluid. The relaxation of the impurity momentum occurs through an uncorrelated chain of such events. This theory gives correct quantitative predictions in a Tonks-Girardeau limit, $\gamma_h = \infty$, for a small impurity-host coupling γ and when the mass ratio η is sufficiently far from unity, see Eq. (18). Nevertheless, we expect that the qualitative picture of the impurity dynamics remains valid for moderate values of γ and for a more general host with $\gamma_h < \infty$. Indeed, our main qualitative conclusions are based on robust kinematical considerations and on the classification of the host excitations which remain unchanged at any γ_h. The quantitative picture is of course modified by the renormalization of the scattering amplitudes in the Boltzmann equation and of spectral curves of the impurity and the host excitations, which depend on the values of the coupling constants. This intuitive reasoning is further supported by the fact that under certain conditions the absence of the complete relaxation of the momentum of the impurity can be analytically demonstrated even for $\gamma \sim 1$ [11].

The system we have studied is translation invariant. However our theory can be straightforwardly generalized to a smooth trapping potential, which is routinely used in experiments. Such generalization amounts to introducing a coordinate-dependent (and, if necessary, a time-dependent) force in the Boltzmann equation. It is possible to determine the dynamics of the impurity quantitatively by numerically solving such an equation.

One can also use the Boltzmann equation to investigate the dynamics of an impurity in a host gas at finite temperature, which amounts to introducing thermal distribution function instead of step functions in transition rates [19]. We expect that the presence of the thermal excitations in the host fluid will lift kinematical restrictions on the thermalization of the impurity. Thus the impurity momentum in the absence of external force will eventually decay to zero with the decay rate strongly dependent on the temperature. This effect can be used for local thermometry of the cold gas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E. Burovski, M. Zvonarev, M. Schecter and L. Glazman for illuminating discussions. The present work was supported by the ERC grant 279738-NEDFOQ.

Appendix A:

Here we derive Eq. (27) from Eq. (15).

The case $|p_0| < q_0$ is trivial since the r.h.s. of Eq. (15) vanishes. Let us choose some $|p_0| > q_0$. The key idea is to consider $w_{p\to k}$ for $|k| \le q_0$ and for $q_0 < |k| \le p_0$ separately. To emphasize this we introduce special notations:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{p\to k} &= \chi_{p\to k} \quad \text{for} \quad q_0 < |k| \le p_0; \\ w_{p\to k} &= \phi_{p\to k} \quad \text{for} \quad |k| \le q_0. \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

(in both cases, however, $q_0 < |p| \le p_0$) The rational behind this separation is that the Boltzmann system for $\chi_{p\to k}$ is closed:

$$\dot\chi_{p\to k} = \sum_{q_0<|k'|\le p_0} \chi_{p\to k'} \underbrace{\left(-\delta_{k'k}\Gamma_k + \Gamma_{k'\to k}\right)}_{S_{k'\to k}}. \tag{A2}$$

Here we introduced a square $M \times M$ matrix $||S_{k'\to k}||$, where $M \equiv 2(p_0 - q_0)/\delta k$. This matrix is triangular and its diagonal elements $S_{k\to k} = -\Gamma_k$ are strictly negative. Two consequences follow from these facts: $||S_{k'\to k}||$ is invertible and $\chi_{p\to k'}(\infty) = 0$.

The Boltzmann system for $\phi_{p\to k}$, in contrast, is not closed:

$$\dot\phi_{p\to k} = \sum_{q_0<|k'|\le p_0} \chi_{p\to k'}\Gamma_{k'\to k}. \tag{A3}$$

Integrating both sides over t and taking into account Eq. (A2) and initial conditions one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{p\to k}(\infty) &= \int_0^\infty dt \sum_{q_0<|k'|\le p_0} \chi_{p\to k'}(t)\Gamma_{k'\to k} \\ &= \int_0^\infty dt \sum_{\substack{q_0<|k'|\le p_0 \\ q_0<|k''|\le p_0}} \dot\chi_{p\to k''}(t)\left(S^{-1}\right)_{k''\to k'}\Gamma_{k'\to k} \\ &= -\sum_{q_0<|k'|\le p_0} \left(S^{-1}\right)_{p\to k'}\Gamma_{k'\to k}. \end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

Contracting both sides with $S_{p_0 p}$ one gets

$$\sum_{q_0<|p|\le p_0} S_{p_0 p}\phi_{p\to k}(\infty) = -\Gamma_{p\to k}, \tag{A5}$$

which leads to the desired result (27).

[1] E. Burovski, V. Cheianov, O. Gamayun, and O. Lychkovskiy, "Momentum relaxation of a mobile impurity in a one-dimensional quantum gas," arXiv:1308.6147 (2013).

[2] Immanuel Bloch, Jean Dalibard, and Wilhelm Zwerger, "Many-body physics with ultracold gases," Reviews of Modern Physics **80**, 885 (2008).

[3] Stefan Palzer, Christoph Zipkes, Carlo Sias, and Michael Köhl, "Quantum transport through a tonks-girardeau gas," Physical review letters **103**, 150601 (2009).

[4] Christof Weitenberg, Manuel Endres, Jacob F Sherson, Marc Cheneau, Peter Schauß, Takeshi Fukuhara, Immanuel Bloch, and Stefan Kuhr, "Single-spin addressing in an atomic mott insulator," Nature **471**, 319–324 (2011); J Catani, G Lamporesi, D Naik, M Gring, M Inguscio, F Minardi, A Kantian, and T Giamarchi, "Quantum dynamics of impurities in a one-dimensional bose gas," Physical Review A **85**, 023623 (2012); Nicolas Spethmann, Farina Kindermann, Shincy John, Claudia Weber, Dieter Meschede, and Artur Widera, "Dynamics of single neutral impurity atoms immersed in an ultracold gas," Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 235301 (2012); Takeshi Fukuhara, Adrian Kantian, Manuel Endres, Marc Cheneau, Peter Schauß, Sebastian Hild, David Bellem, Ulrich Schollwöck, Thierry Giamarchi, Christian Gross, *et al.*, "Quantum dynamics of a mobile spin impurity," Nature Physics **9**, 235–241 (2013); Takeshi Fukuhara, Peter Schauß, Manuel Endres, Sebastian Hild, Marc Cheneau, and Immanuel Bloch, "Microscopic observation of magnon bound states and their dynamics," Nature **502**, 76–79 (2013).

[5] Adilet Imambekov, Thomas L. Schmidt, and Leonid I. Glazman, "One-dimensional quantum liquids: Beyond the luttinger liquid paradigm," Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1253–1306 (2012).

[6] D. M. Gangardt and A. Kamenev, "Bloch oscillations in a one-dimensional spinor gas," Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 070402 (2009).

[7] Michael Schecter, DM Gangardt, and Alex Kamenev, "Dynamics and bloch oscillations of mobile impurities in one-dimensional quantum liquids," Annals of Physics **327**, 639–670 (2012).

[8] M. Schecter, A. Kamenev, D. M. Gangardt, and A. Lamacraft, "Critical velocity of a mobile impurity in one-dimensional quantum liquids," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 207001 (2012).

[9] Charles JM Mathy, Mikhail B Zvonarev, and Eugene Demler, "Quantum flutter of supersonic particles in one-dimensional quantum liquids," Nature Physics **8**, 881–886 (2012).

[10] Michael Knap, Charles JM Mathy, Martin Ganahl, Mikhail B Zvonarev, and Eugene Demler, "Quantum

flutter: Signatures and robustness," Physical Review Letters **112**, 015302 (2014).
[11] O Lychkovskiy, "Perpetual motion of a mobile impurity in a one-dimensional quantum gas," arXiv:1308.6260 (2013).
[12] Bogolyubov N. N., "On the theory of superfluidity," J. Phys. (USSR) **11**, 23–32 (1947).
[13] Bogolyubov N. N., "Energy levels of nonideal bose-einstein gas (in russian)," Bull. Moscow State Univ. **7**, 43–56 (1947).
[14] M Girardeau, J. Math. Phys. **1**, 516 (1960).
[15] JB McGuire, "Interacting fermions in one dimension. i. repulsive potential," Journal of Mathematical Physics **6**, 432 (1965).
[16] M Gaudin, "Un systeme a une dimension de fermions en interaction," Physics Letters A **24**, 55–56 (1967).
[17] CN Yang, "Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction," Physical Review Letters **19**, 1312 (1967).
[18] G. E. Astrakharchik and L. P. Pitaevskii, "Motion of a heavy impurity through a bose-einstein condensate," Phys. Rev. A **70**, 013608 (2004).
[19] A. H. Castro Neto and Matthew P. A. Fisher, "Dynamics of a heavy particle in a luttinger liquid," Phys. Rev. B **53**, 9713–9718 (1996).
[20] Marvin Girardeau, "Relationship between systems of impenetrable bosons and fermions in one dimension," Journal of Mathematical Physics **1**, 516 (1960).
[21] O. Gamayun, to appear (2014).
[22] Gerald D. Mahan, *Many-particle Physics* (Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, 2000).
[23] T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Oxford University Press, 2003).
[24] M. Khodas, M. Pustilnik, A. Kamenev, and L. I. Glazman, "Fermi-luttinger liquid: Spectral function of interacting one-dimensional fermions," Phys. Rev. B **76**, 155402 (2007).